

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,604	12/28/2000	Tadakatsu Izumi	F-6803 6756	
7:	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Jordan and Hamburg			HARRISON, JESSICA	
122 East 42nd S New York, NY	* *		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Town Tonis, TT Torres			3714	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/750,604	IZUMI, TADAKATSU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jessica J. Harrison	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 O	ctober 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/750,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 8, 2004 directing entry of the prior filed amendment of August 5, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-3,5-7 are pending. Claims 1, 5, 6, and 7 have been amended.

# Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because Figure 5 contains screen captures which are too small and too dark to read. Solid black shading may not be used. Line drawings containing the identical information should be prepared. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3714

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Junkin '862 in view of Hawkins et al. '458 (Hawk), Sides '831 and Kagan '045 collectively.

Junkin teaches a network game system having a plurality of elements that allow play of a real time game element trading game (Abstract). The network has communication, interactive terminals, servers, and storage devices (Fig.1). Junkin describes a computer display of a team roster database that shows possession information (2:41-42), multiple computers communicating of a network that teach discrimination between machines (Fig1 and 2:43-45), a menu for selecting and trading team players (8:66-67) that shows transaction information providing, presentation, and selection of elements available for trade. Information updating is taught through real time update of team information (6:25-37). Regarding claim 2, a selected game element is removed when possession is obtained by another game participant/machine. Regarding claim 3, the game is a predetermined competition of baseball or hockey (4:30). Junking discloses essentially all claimed elements, differing from the presently claimed invention in that Junkin is clearly connected to a computer network and utilizes a centralized server for performance of game functions, while instant independent claims 1, 5, 6 and 7 recite "at least two game machines"

Application/Control Number: 09/750,604

Art Unit: 3714

each possessed by each of the users (presumably one possessed by each user) and communicative in a direct physical linkage with one another...permitting data exchange directly therebetween.

Hawkins has been added to the record as he teaches an analogous networked computer game system with playing objects. Hawkins clearly speaks to that which is well known in networking arrangements: the distributive nature of computing. In Figures 1 and 2 and corresponding discussion, Hawkins teaches the equivalence of a server based central control game network arrangement and a direct linked peer to peer arrangement. Thus, play of the game can be implemented on either type of network. Further added to the combination are Sides and Kagan, both of record, which also teach head to head play via the direct linkage of game machines to the exclusion of a central processor. Elimination of a central processor saves both money and computing time, both critical to the commercial success of an online computer game. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify Junkin to distribute the required software for play of the game across the peer devices participating in the game and eliminate the need for a central server in view of the collective teachings of Hawkins, Sides and Kagan. Such would save money and computing time and as such attract more players to the system. Furthermore, the selection of particular type of well known networking arrangement, such as server/client or peer/peer, absent criticality, falls under

the purview of routine engineering choice to one of ordinary skill in the networking art and as such can not serve to define patentability.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states he remains of the position that Junkin fails to disclose the synchronous display between the users to show the situation of the transaction. Allegedly since each user of the interactive devices can transact with the central server at any time in Junkin, the transaction between the users is not conducted at the same time. Respectfully the examiner disagrees for at least two reasons. First, applicant's position fails to persuade in that no language requiring any sort of synchronous display or temporally common transaction is seen anywhere in any of applicants claims. Notwithstanding, presuming arguendo applicant's claims had such a requirement, nothing in Junkin requires that transactions be non-synchronous. Stated differently, if two players in Junkin are online simultaneously and transacting, applicant's potential claim language would be met.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica J. Harrison whose telephone number is 571-272-4449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during business hours.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,604

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica J. Harrison Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714 Page 6

jjh